# **DECISION FORM**





Particulars of offence
Player's Name: Vojtěch Vomáčka
Player's number: 2
Player's union: Czechia
Competition: Rugby Europe Men's Trophy (2025)
Host Team (T1): Czechia Visiting Team (T2): Luxembourg
Venue : Prague Marketa Stadium
Date of match: March 8 <sup>th</sup> , 2025
Rules to apply: Regulation 17 World Rugby Handbook
Referee Name: Diogo Miranda
Plea: ⊠ Admitted □ Not admitted
Offence: ⊠ Red card □ Citing □ Other
If "Other" selected, please specify:
Hearing details
Chairperson / JO: Matthew Weaver KC (ENG)
Other Members of the Disciplinary Panel:
- Richard McGhee (SCO)
- Luca Di Gregoria (ITA)
Hearing date: March 11 <sup>th</sup> , 2025
Hearing venue: On remote
Appearance Player: ⊠ Yes □ No
Appearance Union: ⊠ Yes □ No
Player's Representative(s):
Michal Ouředník
Other attendees: David Baird-Smith (Rugby Europe)
List of documents/ materials considered by the Panel:
Red card report from referee
Video clips (normal speed and slow-motion)
Game sheet
Medical report
Response to notice of disciplinary hearing
Statement on behalf of player
Summary of essential elements of citing / Referee's report / Incident footage
The referee's report describes the incident as follows:
"The Player was reckless and was never in a realistic nosition to eaten the hall. The Player admitted his action
"The Player was reckless and was never in a realistic position to catch the ball. The Player admitted his action and apologised to the opponent"
and approgram to the opponent
The video footage of the incident shows the Player chasing the restart with his eyes fixed on the ball. He avoids
contact with one opponent and then lowers his eyes to see the opponent catching the ball with both feet off

Confidential - ©Rugby Europe Page 1/6

the ground. He proceeds to make a tackle whilst the opponent is still off the ground, causing the opponent to fall to the ground, landing on his back.

### Essential elements of other evidence (e.g. medical reports)

The Luxembourg RFU confirmed that the tackled player suffered no injury as a result of the incident and was able to play on

#### Summary of player's evidence

A statement on behalf of the Player explained the incident as follows:

"Player no. 2. After the kick off, this player had his eyes focused on the ball. At that moment, however, bright sunlight was shining into his eyes, which could have negatively affected his vision and reaction abilities.

Based on the match footage, it is clear that player no. 2 narrowly avoided the first opponent, which demonstrates his intention to adhere to the rules of the game and to avoid dangerous situations. As soon as he looked up at the ball again, an opponent suddenly appeared in the air. Due to the high speed at which the situation developed, player no. 2 was unable to react in time.

It should be emphasized that the incident was unintentional, and any injury was not intentional. Immediately after the incident, the player expressed remorse and apologized to the involved players.

The situation deeply affected the excluded player, who left the field with tears in his eyes.

Addition

The player from Luxembourg fortunately did not sustain any injuries and continued to play in the match"

The Player gave evidence at the hearing, describing the incident in very similar terms to the above.

## Findings of fact

The Panel accepted the Player's account of the incident, namely that he was chasing a kick off, keeping his eyes on the ball until very late. He made his way past a defending player and assumed, incorrectly, that he would be unchallenged when catching the kick off. In fact, another defender jumped for the ball and when the Player noticed that defender, he did not have sufficient time to avoid making contact with the defender whilst he was in the air. The actions amounted to foul play and warranted a red card but where reckless rather than intentional.

		1 1 1		
Fortunately, the de	etender landed	d on his bac	k not his	nead or neck

Decision		
□ Proven □ Not proven □ (	Other disposal (please state)	

# **SANCTIONING PROCESS**

Assessment of seriousness As per Article 4.5 of Rugby Europe Disciplinary Regulations and Regulations 17 of World Rugby
Assessment of intent:
☐ Intentional/deliberate ☒ Reckless
State reasons:
The Player had his eyes on the ball until shortly before the incident. His actions were unintentional
Nature of actions
The Player tackled the opponent whilst he was still in the air, causing him to fall to the ground and land on his
back
Existence of provocation:
None
Whether player retaliated:
N/A
Self-defence:
N/A
Effect on victim:
The opponent was not injured
Effect on match:
Czechia was leading 17-7 at the time of the incident and went on to win 40-16
Vulnerability of victim:
The opponent was in the air and unable to protect himself
Level of participation / premeditation:
Not premeditated
Conduct completed / attempted:
Completed
Other features of player's conduct:
None
Entry point

Entry point					
Low-end	Weeks	Mid-range	Weeks	Top end	Weeks
$\boxtimes$	4				
Reasons for selecting entry point:					
Given that the defender landed on his back and suffered no injury, the Panel were content that this was an					

Given that the defender landed on his back and suffered no injury, the Panel were content that this was an incident towards the lower end of seriousness under Law 9.17 and, as such, merited a low-end entry point

Relevant off-field mitigating factors As per Article 4.5 of Rugby Europe Disciplinary Regulations and Regulations 17 of World Rugby		
Acknowledgement of guilt and timing:	Player's disciplinary record / good character:	
The Player admitted the red card at the earliest opportunity	The Player has no previous red cards or any other disciplinary matters	
Youth and inexperience of player:	Conduct prior to and at hearing:	

The Player is 25 years old	The Player conducted himself entirely appropriately at the hearing
Remorse and timing of Remorse	Other off-field mitigation:
The Player apologised for his actions immediately and was apologetic during the hearing	

# Number of weeks deducted: 2

# Summary of reason for number of weeks deducted:

Given the Player's previously clean disciplinary record and his obvious remorse, the Panel were content that he should receive the maximum 50% mitigation

Additional relevant off-field aggravating factors
As per Article 4.5 of Rugby Europe Disciplinary Regulations and Regulations 17 of World Rugby
Player's status as an offender of the Laws of the Game:
N/A
Need for deterrence:
N/A
Any other off-field aggravating factors:
None
Number of additional weeks: 0
Summary of reason for number of weeks added:

Confidential - ©Rugby Europe Page 5/6

#### **SANCTION**

NOTE: Players ordered off or cited by a citing commissioner are provisionally suspended pending the hearing of their case, such suspension should be taken into consideration when sanctioning - RE Discipline Regulations 4.1.4 / 4.4 (or equivalent Tournament rule)

Total sanction: 2-week suspension from playing	☐ Sending off sufficient	
Sanction commences: 8.3.2025		
Sanction concludes: 29.3.2025		
Matches/ tournaments included in sanction:		
23.3.2025 - Saint Marcellin Sport v Union Sportive Veor	e - Federale 3	
29.3.2025 - Lithuania v Czechia – Rugby Europe Trophy		
Costs: 0		
Signature		
Name of the JO or Chairman: Matthew Weaver KC		
Date: 11.3.2025		
Signature (IO or Chairman):		

NOTE: You have 48 hours from notification of the decision of the chairman/jo to lodge an appeal with the tournament director – RE Discipline Regulations 4.6.2 (or equivalent Tournament rule)